

Yorkshire and the Humber Specialised Commissioning Group

GENERAL POLICY	Policy Ref:
	25/10

Treatment	Penile prostheses
(brand name,	- State production
manufacturer):	
For the	Erectile Dysfunction
treatment of:	-
Background:	Penile prostheses are occasionally requested by specialists for the treatment of erectile dysfunction but the PCT currently has no policy for their funding.
Commissionin g position:	Penile implants are routinely funded for the treatment of severe organic erectile dysfunction (ED) with the following restrictions:
	 as third line treatment ONLY following adequate trials of standard therapies (including oral PDE-5 inhibitors, testosterone replacement), vacuum constriction device, and intracavernous injection therapy and intraurethral alprostadil.
	■ ED is associated with one of the following medical conditions: Diabetes; Multiple Sclerosis; Parkinson's Disease; Poliomyelitis; Prostate Cancer; Prostatectomy; Radical Pelvic Surgery; Severe Pelvic Injury; Renal Failure treated by dialysis or transplant; Single Gene Neurological Disease; Spinal Cord Injury; Spina Bifida OR
	 there is documented evidence the patient is suffering severe distress on account of their ED
	 Appropriate risk factor modification and lifestyle changes such as losing weight, stopping smoking, reducing alcohol consumption, and increasing exercise should have been tried
	 Psychological assessment has been done and has excluded a treatable underlying psychogenic cause.
	Urological assessment has been done and has excluded

a treatable underlying physical abnormality.

 Endocrine assessment has been done and has excluded a treatable underlying hormonal cause.

Effective from:

24th September 2010

Summary of evidence:

Erectile dysfunction is defined as the persistent inability to attain and maintain an erection sufficient to permit satisfactory sexual performance. It is more common in older men, affecting about half the male population of 40–70 years of age.

(PDE-5) inhibitors are effective in approximately 75% of patients, and for non-responders second and third line therapies can be offered.

There is considerable evidence that adequate levels of testosterone are required for ED therapies, especially PDE5 inhibitors, to achieve maximal response and in many cases normalisation of testosterone levels can restore erectile function.

Recommendation	LE	G R
Lifestyle changes and risk factor modification must precede or accompany ED treatment	1b	Α
Pro-erectile treatments have to be given at the earliest opportunity after radical prostatectomy	1b	Α
When a curable cause of ED is found, the cause must be treated first	1b	В
PDE5 inhibitors are first-line therapy	1a	Α
Daily administration of PDE5 inhibitors may	1b	Α
improve results and restore erectile function		
Inadequate/incorrect prescription and poor	3	В
patient education are the main causes of a lack		
of response to PDE5 inhibitors		
Testosterone replacement restores efficacy in	1b	В
hypogonadic non-responders to PDE5 inhibitors		
Apomorphine can be used in mild-to-moderate	1b	В
ED or psychogenic causes or in patients with		
contraindications for the use of PDE5 inhibitors		
A vacuum constriction device can be used in	4	С
patients with stable relationship		
Intracavernous injection is second-line therapy	1b	В
Penile implant is third-line therapy	4	С

LE = level of evidence GR = grade of recommendation (Ref 3)

NHS Evidence - Clinical Knowledge Summaries ; Erectile

Guidelines on Society for Se http://www.bs Guidelines 200 European Ass Dysfunction: E Wespes, E et http://www.uroale_Sexual_E	ociation of Urology 2009. Male Sexual Erectile dysfunction and premature ejaculation. al. oweb.org/fileadmin/tx_eauguidelines/2009/Full/Mysf.pdf Type 2 Diabetes e.org.uk/nicemedia/pdf/CG66FullGuideline0509. required.
Society for Sent http://www.bs. Buidelines 200 European Ass Dysfunction: Every wespes, E et http://www.uroale Sexual Districtions of http://www.nicopdf Equality Impact: Date approved by SCG Board: Policy to be reviewed by: Version: 1 Supersedes: N/A Date approved by CSSG/RPSG: Responsible Officer Supersedes: NHS Hull	xual Medicine (BSSM) Hackett et al, 2008. sm.org.uk/downloads/BSSM_ED_Management 7.pdf ociation of Urology 2009. Male Sexual Frectile dysfunction and premature ejaculation. al. oweb.org/fileadmin/tx_eauguidelines/2009/Full/Mysf.pdf Type 2 Diabetes e.org.uk/nicemedia/pdf/CG66FullGuideline0509. required.
Dysfunction: R Wespes, E et http://www.urd ale Sexual D NICE CG 66 http://www.nic pdf Equality Impact: Date approved by SCG Board: Policy to be reviewed by: Version: 1 Supersedes: Date approved by CSSG/RPSG: Responsible Officer NICE CG 66 http://www.nic pdf No formal EIA 24 th Septembra 24 th Septembra CSSG: 9 th Se	Erectile dysfunction and premature ejaculation. al. web.org/fileadmin/tx_eauguidelines/2009/Full/M ysf.pdf Type 2 Diabetes e.org.uk/nicemedia/pdf/CG66FullGuideline0509. required.
http://www.nicepdf Equality Impact: Date approved by SCG Board: Policy to be reviewed by: Version: 1 Supersedes: N/A Date approved by CSSG/RPSG: Responsible Officer NHS Hull	e.org.uk/nicemedia/pdf/CG66FullGuideline0509. required.
http://www.nicopdf Equality Impact: Date approved by SCG Board: Policy to be reviewed by: Version: 1 Supersedes: N/A Date approved by CSSG/RPSG: Responsible Officer NHS Hull	e.org.uk/nicemedia/pdf/CG66FullGuideline0509. required.
Equality Impact: Date approved by SCG Board: Policy to be reviewed by: Version: Supersedes: Date approved by CSSG/RPSG: Responsible Officer No formal EIA 24 th Septembra 24 th Septembra CSSG: 9 th Septembra N/A Date approved by CSSG/RPSG: Responsible Officer	required.
Impact: Date approved by SCG Board: Policy to be reviewed by: Version: Supersedes: Date approved by CSSG/RPSG: Responsible Officer 24 th Septembra 34	·
Impact: Date approved by SCG Board: Policy to be reviewed by: Version: Supersedes: Date approved by CSSG/RPSG: Responsible Officer 24 th Septembra 34	·
by SCG Board: Policy to be reviewed by: Version: Supersedes: Date approved by CSSG/RPSG: Responsible Officer 24 th Septembrance 24 th Septembrance CSSG: 9 th Septembrance N/A CSSG: 9 th Septembrance N/A CSSG: 9 th Septembrance N/A N/A N/A N/A N/B N/B N/B N/B	2010
Policy to be reviewed by: Version: 1 Supersedes: N/A Date approved by CSSG/RPSG: Responsible Officer 24 th September 24 ^t	2010
reviewed by: Version: Supersedes: Date approved by CSSG/RPSG: Responsible Officer N/A CSSG: 9 th Se	
Version: 1 Supersedes: N/A Date approved CSSG: 9 th Se by CSSG/RPSG: Responsible Officer NHS Hull	er 2012
Supersedes: N/A Date approved by CSSG/RPSG: Responsible Officer N/A CSSG: 9 th Se	
Date approved by CSSG/RPSG: Responsible Officer CSSG: 9 th Se	
by CSSG/RPSG: Responsible Officer NHS Hull	
Responsible NHS Hull Officer	otember 2010
Distribution /Target Audience: IFR Managers Directors of C Contracting L PCT Nominat SHA – (Chris cc: Cancer Ne	ommissioning ublic Health eads