S

Commissioning Executive Team (CET) and Planning and Delivery Group (P&DG) Update

Governing Body meeting

9 January 2014

Author(s)/Presenter and title	Ian Atkinson, Accountable Officer
Sponsor	Ian Atkinson, Accountable Officer
Key messages	
 The management and proposals for Local Enhanced Services, which is a paper on this agenda today Management of system performance and winter response Facilitated the prioritisation of the commissioning intentions 	
Assurance Framework (AF)	
Assurance Framework Number: 1.3, 4.1, 4.2, 4.5	
 How does this paper provide assurance to the Governing Body that the risk is being addressed? Improved patient experience and access to care Ensuring sustainable, affordable, health care systems Is this an existing or additional control: Existing 	
Equality/Diversity Impact	
Has an equality impact assessment been undertaken? NO	
Which of the 9 Protected Characteristics does it have an impact on? There are no specific issues associated with this report.	
Public and Patient Engagement	
None Required.	
Recommendations	
The Governing Body is asked to note the update.	



Commissioning Executive Team (CET) and Planning and Delivery Group (P&DG) Update

Governing Body meeting

9 January 2014

1.0 Introduction

This paper sets out the current key issues being addressed by the CET and the P&DG and provides a forward look to potential commissioning issues that will need to be addressed or will be subject to further work and involvement of the committee.

1.1 Commissioning Executive Team (CET)

- The management and proposals for the Local Enhanced Services, which is a paper on this agenda today
- Management of system performance and winter response
- Management of the financial performance

1.2 Planning and Delivery Group (P&DG)

- P & D supported the recommendation that current RFT investment was built into the CCG's financial plan for Governing Body consideration
- P & D supported the recommendations where this involved discontinuing the service
- P & D noted that in several cases, schemes had only recently commenced and hence project evaluations needed to be completed in 2014, it recommended that further consideration be given as to which projects are funded recurrently and which projects continue to be funded non recurrently in 2014/15, subject to the completion of the evaluations.
- Facilitated the prioritisation of the commissioning intentions

2.0. Recommendations

The Governing Body is asked to note the report.

Ian Atkinson, Accountable Officer 23 December 2013