

## Principal Objectives - 2021/22

		Executive Lead
<b>Objective 1</b>	1. Reduce the impact of health inequalities on peoples' health and wellbeing through working with Sheffield City Council and partners	Brian Hughes
<b>Objective 2</b>	2. Lead the improvement of quality of care and standards	Alun Windle
<b>Objective 3</b>	3. Bring care closer to home	Sandie Buchan
<b>Objective 4</b>	4. Improve health care sustainability and affordability	Jackie Mills
<b>Objective 5</b>	5. Be a caring employer that values diversity and maximises the potential of our people	Brian Hughes

**Introduction**

GBAF 2021/22, 1 April 2021-31 March 2022

The Governing Body Assurance Framework aims to identify the principal or strategic risks to the delivery of the CCG's strategic objectives. It sets out the controls that are in place to manage the risks and the assurances that show if the controls are having the desired impact. It identifies the gaps in control and hence the key mitigating actions required to reduce the risks towards the target or appetite risk score. It also identifies any gaps in assurance and what actions can be taken to increase assurance to the CCG.

The table below sets out the strategic objectives lists the various principal risks that relate to them and highlights where gaps in control or assurance have been identified. Further details can be found on the supporting pages for each of the Principal Risks.

Strategic Objective	Risk	Principal Risk Identified	Risk Owner	Risk Initial Score	Risk Score Q1	Risk Score Q2	Risk Score Q3	Risk Score Q4	Risk Target or Appetite Score	Are there GAPS in control?	Are there GAPS in assurance?	2022/23 Risk Initial Score	Rationale if different from Q4	Admin (last reviewed)
1. Reduce the impact of health inequalities on people's health and wellbeing through working with Sheffield City Council and partners (Lead: Brian Hughes)	1.1	There is a risk that our agreed joint commissioning priorities do not deliver the anticipated impact on improving the health and wellbeing of our population, and a positive impact on reducing health inequalities. The backlog of service delivery, and recovery (economic and service delivery) from the pandemic could have a significant impact on the delivery of our priorities.	BH	12	12	12	12	12	9	No	No	12		22/04/2022
	1.2	There is a risk that, due to insufficient data/intelligence and clinical leadership across health, education and social care, we fail to make sufficient progress to implement the key developments required to achieve our goal of giving every child and young person the best start in life, potentially increasing demand on health, education and care services.	SB	12	12	12	12	12	6	No	No	12		20/04/2022
	1.3	There is a risk that due to the increase in demand, the magnitude of change required and lack of workforce capacity, we are unable to make sufficient progress on delivering our all age mental health objectives, and as a result fail to impact on the health and social inequalities faced by people with mental health conditions, learning disability and autism, resulting in reduced life expectancy.	SB	16	16	12	12	12	9	No	No	16	This risk has increased due to recent complications linked to the pathways for 16/17 year olds in a mental health crisis. Increased complexities due to other commissioners and provider collaborators.	20/04/2022
	1.4	There is a risk that inequalities have worsened as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic due to elective activity being paused and exacerbating those with long term conditions.	SB	20	20	20	20	20	12	No	No	20		20/04/2022
2. Lead the improvement of quality of care and standards (Lead: Aun Windle)	2.1	There is a risk that organisations fail to meet quality standards, resulting in reduced quality of services, increased patient safety risks and a lack of satisfaction in commissioned services.	AW	16	16	16	16	20	9	Yes	No	20		24/04/2022
	2.2	There is a risk that system wide or specific provider capacity problems emerge in secondary and/or primary care that hinder the recovery of service delivery post COVID as well as delivery of statutory requirements of the NHS Constitution, Long Term Plan and 2021/2022 Operational Plan expectations.	SB	20	20	20	20	20	9	No	No	20		20/04/2022
	2.3	There is a risk that we fail to effectively engage and communicate our messages with the public and involve patients and carers in CCG and system priorities and service developments, leading to loss of confidence in CCG decisions, potential legal challenge and barriers to progressing required change.	BH	16	16	12	12	12	8	No	No	12		14/04/2022
	2.4	There is a risk that there is insufficient workforce to deliver high quality care across the health care economy, particularly in primary and secondary care covering all professions due to increasing demands on health services	AW	12	12	12	12	12	9	No	No	12		20/04/2022
	2.5	There is a risk that insufficient preparedness to deal with significant emergency events mean that if those events occur local health services may be overwhelmed, distorting delivery of our priorities. We need to ensure our response reflects the lessons learned and experiences from the Covid Pandemic.	BH	15	15	15	15	15	8	No	No	15		20/04/2022
	2.6	There is a risk that the CCG may not meet Flu Vaccine requirements set by NICE 2021/22, due to availability of vaccine, workforce capacity with other vaccination programmes, access to vulnerable cohorts in a period of both Covid and General practice work recovery and restoration	AW	12	12	12	9	9	9	No	No	12	Although the risk was successfully managed in 2021/22 the risk increases as we go into 2022/23 due to similar issues outlined previously (eg workforce, vaccine availability) and clarity requirements regarding the long term plans for the national flu and covid vaccine programme.	12/04/2022
	2.7	There is a risk that the CCG is unable to deliver on national expectations of uptake of the Covid 19 vaccine due to the lack of workforce, vaccine supply, or the appetite of our population, resulting in our population not being protected from the virus, higher morbidity and mortality, continued high demand for health and care services and in inability to restart the local economy.	AW	16	16	16	16	16	9	No	No	16		20/04/2022
3. Bring care closer to home (Lead: Sandie Buchan)	3.1	There is a risk that we have insufficient capacity and resources to support development of Primary Care Networks (PCNs) and primary care at scale working or that PCNs are overwhelmed by multiple demands for their involvement.	SB	16	16	16	16	16	9	No	No	16		20/04/2022
	3.2	There is a risk that there is insufficient resilience in primary and community care, in particular GP practices but also in the community pharmacy, care providers and the voluntary sector, that we are unable to expand capacity in primary and community care.	SB	16	20	20	20	20	6	No	No	20		20/04/2022
	3.3	Inability to secure active engagement/participation and involvement of PCN Clinical Directors, Members Practices and relevant CCG teams which may result in not achieving CCG priorities.	ZM	9	9	9	6	6	6	No	No	6	No change. Risk is at risk appetite level but the rationale for carrying over this risk is that the pressure in primary care makes this risk vulnerable to increase if the mitigations are not maintained.	14/04/2022
4. Improve health care sustainability and affordability (Lead: Jackie Niles)	4.1	There is a risk that the capacity and role of the voluntary and community sector is not fully realised as part of our system infrastructure and presence	BH	12	12	12	12	12	6	No	No	12		20/04/2022
	4.2	There is a risk that the financial challenges of our own organisation and that of our system partners distort our short term spending priorities and prevent us investing in the key areas to deliver our objectives	JM	16	16	12	12	16	9	No	No	16		22/04/2022
	4.3	There is a risk that the proposed legislative changes and potential dissolution of the CCG have a disruptive effect on commissioning and provider partnerships during 2021/22 resulting in failure to secure the level of transformation required and an ability to deliver on our joint objectives.	BH	12	12	12	12	12	6	No	No	12		20/04/2022
	4.4	There is a risk that our digital infrastructure is inadequately maintained/developed and thus impacts our ability to deliver safe, efficient and high quality health and care services and make informed decisions. This is both a current issue and is also a risk for the delivery of the digital strategy building blocks.	CT	12	12	12	12	12	9	No	No	12		20/04/2022
	4.5	There is a risk that the estates infrastructure is inadequately maintained/developed and so impacts on the ability to integrate services/bring services closer to home.	JM	12	12	12	12	12	9	No	No	12		22/04/2022
	4.6	There is a risk that we fail to address the impact that the services that we commission have on the environment.	ZM	12	12	12	12	12	9	No	No	12		13/04/2022
5. Be a caring employer that values diversity and maximises the potential of our people (Lead: Brian Hughes)	5.1	There is a risk that our internal QIPP plan does not deliver the level of efficiency changes required to enable us invest in the services that we have prioritised to achieve our objectives either because the schemes are not developed robustly or because we have insufficient people/resources to deliver it or we cannot engage key partners appropriately.	SB	16	16	12	12	12	9	No	No	16	The risk has increased due to the challenge of achieving the required level of efficiencies for 2022/23	20/04/2022
	5.2	There is a risk that our collective risk appetite is insufficient to realise the potential of our plans	JM	16	16	16	16	16	8	No	No	16		22/04/2022
	5.3	There is a risk that the proposed legislative changes and potential dissolution of the CCG have a disruptive effect during 2021/22 causing anxiety and uncertainty in staff and that we have insufficient workforce to deliver our organisational objectives and commissioning intentions during times of major change.	CT	12	12	16	16	16	9	No	No	16		14/04/2022
	5.4	There is a risk that if we do not engage actively in the co-design of the future arrangements for place and commissioning we will not have maximised the potential of our staff and their contribution to an integrated health and care system.	BH	12	12	12	12	12	9	No	No	12		20/04/2022
	5.5	There is a risk that our focus on future system design means that we lose focus and momentum on our culture change programme, talent management and succession planning and our ambitions on equality and diversity.	BH	12	12	12	12	12	9	No	No	12		20/04/2022
	5.6	There is a risk that due to the wide range of staff home working experiences during the pandemic, our post pandemic flexible working arrangements will not cater for the needs of our staff.	CT	12	12	12	12	12	9	No	No	12		13/04/2022

The Risk Ratings used in the Assurance Framework are based on the following risk stratification table:

Consequence	Likelihood					Risk Rating
	1 Rare	2 Unlikely	3 Possible	4 Likely	5 Almost certain	
1 Negligible	1	2	3	4	5	1 to 3 Low
2 Minor	2	4	6	8	10	4 to 9 Medium
3 Moderate	3	6	9	12	15	10 to 14 High
4 Major	4	8	12	16	20	15 to 19 Very High (Serious)
5 Catastrophic	5	10	15	20	25	20 to 25 Critical

**Gaps in Control or Assurance**

GBAF 2021/22, 1 April 2021-31 March 2022

If your risk has a red box it needs filling in, once you have done so it will turn white. Grey boxes don't need filling in.

Strategic Objective	Principal Risk identified	Risk Owner	Risk Initial Score	Risk current Score	Risk Target or Appetite Score	Are there GAPS in control?	Reason for Gap in Control	Action taken to reduce Gap in Control	Are there Gap in Assurance?	Reason for Gap in Assurance	Action taken to reduce Gap in Assurance
1. Reduce the impact of health inequalities on peoples' health and wellbeing through working with Sheffield City Council and partners( Lead: Brian Hughes )	1.1 There is a risk that our agreed joint commissioning priorities do not deliver the anticipated impact on improving the health and wellbeing of our population, and a positive impact on reducing health inequalities. The backlog of service delivery, and recovery (economic and service delivery) from the pandemic could have a significant impact on the delivery of our priorities.	BH	12	12	9	No			No		
	1.2 There is a risk that, due to insufficient data/intelligence and clinical leadership across health, education and social care, we fail to make sufficient progress to implement the key developments required to achieve our goal of giving every child and young person the best start in life, potentially increasing demand on health, education and care services.	SB	12	12	6	No			No		
	1.3 There is a risk that due to the increase in demand, the magnitude of change required and lack of workforce capacity, we are unable to make sufficient progress on delivering our all age mental health objectives, and as a result fail to impact on the health and social inequalities faced by people with mental health conditions, learning disability and autism, resulting in reduced life expectancy.	SB	16	12	9	No			No		
	1.4 There is a risk that inequalities have worsened as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic due to elective activity being paused and exacerbating those with long term conditions.	SB	20	20	12	No			No		
2. Lead the improvement of quality of care and standards (Lead: Alun Windle )	2.1 There is a risk that organisations fail to meet quality standards, resulting in reduced quality of services, increased patient safety risks and a lack of satisfaction in commissioned services.	AW	16	20	9	Yes	As a result of the 21/22 national Covid legislation a numberof the clinical quality related processes/ contractual levers were removed from CCGs.	The CCG has continued to work with providers to ensure an open dialogue about quality related issues. From 1 April 2022 the national contract processes have been (in most part) reestablished and therefore this gap in control will not be an issue for 2022/23.	No		
	2.2 There is a risk that system wide or specific provider capacity problems emerge in secondary and/or primary care that hinder the recovery of service delivery post COVID as well as delivery of statutory requirements of the NHS Constitution, Long Term Plan and 2021/2022 Operational Plan expectations.	SB	20	20	9	No			No		
	2.3 There is a risk that we fail to effectively engage and communicate our messages with the public and involve patients and carers in CCG and system priorities and service developments, leading to loss of confidence in CCG decisions, potential legal challenge and barriers to progressing required change.	BH	16	12	8	No			No		
	2.4 There is a risk that there is insufficient workforce to deliver high quality care across the health care economy, particularly in primary and secondary care covering all professions due to increasing demands on health services	AW	12	12	9	No			No		
	2.5 There is a risk that insufficient preparedness to deal with significant emergency events mean that if those events occur local health services may be overwhelmed, distorting delivery of our priorities. We need to ensure our response reflects the lessons learned and experiences from the Covid Pandemic	BH	15	15	8	No			No		
	2.6 There is a risk that the CCG may not meet Flu Vaccine requirements set by NHSEI 2021/22, due to availability of vaccine, workforce capacity with other vaccination programmes, access to vulnerable cohorts in a period of both Covid and General practice work recovery and restoration	AW	12	9	9	No			No		
	2.7 There is a risk that the CCG is unable to deliver on national expectations of uptake of the Covid 19 vaccine due to the lack of workforce, vaccine supply, or the appetite of our population, resulting in our population not being protected from the virus, higher morbidity and mortality, continued high demand for health and care services and in inability to restart the local economy.	AW	16	16	9	No			No		
3. Bring care closer to home( Lead: Sandie Buchan )	3.1 There is a risk that we have insufficient capacity and resources to support development of Primary Care Networks (PCNs) and primary care at scale working or that PCNs are overwhelmed by multiple demands for their involvement.	SB	16	16	9	No			No		
	3.2 There is a risk that there is insufficient resilience in primary and community care, in particular GP practices but also in the community pharmacy, care providers and the voluntary sector, that we are unable to expand capacity in primary and community care.	SB	16	20	6	No			No		
	3.3 Inability to secure active engagement/participation and involvement of PCN Clinical Directors, Members Practices and relevant CCG teams which may result in not achieving CCG priorities.	ZM	9	6	6	No			No		
	3.4 There is a risk that the capacity and role of the voluntary and community sector is not fully realised as part of our system infrastructure and presence	BH	12	12	6	No			No		
4. Improve health care sustainability and affordability( Lead: Jackie Mills )	4.1 There is a risk that the financial challenges of our own organisation and that of our system partners distort our short term spending priorities and prevent us investing in the key areas to deliver our objectives	JM	16	16	9	No			No		
	4.2 There is a risk that the proposed legislative changes and potential dissolution of the CCG have a disruptive effect on commissioning and provider partnerships during 2021/22 resulting in failure to secure the level of transformation required and an ability to deliver on our joint objectives	BH	12	12	6	No			No		
	4.3 There is a risk that our digital infrastructure is inadequately maintained/developed and thus impacts our ability to deliver safe, efficient and high quality health and care services and make informed decisions. This is both a current issue and is also a risk for the delivery of the digital strategy building blocks.	CT	12	12	9	No			No		
	4.4 There is a risk that the estates infrastructure is inadequately maintained/developed and so impacts on the ability to integrate services/bring services closer to home.	JM	12	12	9	No			No		
	4.5 There is a risk that we fail to address the impact that the services that we commission have on the environment.	ZM	12	12	9	No			No		
	4.6 There is a risk that our internal QIPP plan does not deliver the level of efficiency changes required to enable us invest in the services that we have prioritised to achieve our objectives either because the schemes are not developed robustly or because we have insufficient people/resources to deliver it or we cannot engage key partners appropriately.	SB	16	12	9	No			No		
	4.7 There is a risk that our collective risk appetite is insufficient to realise the potential of our plans	JM	16	16	8	No			No		
5. Be a caring employer that values diversity and maximises the potential of our people( Lead: Brian Hughes )	5.1 There is a risk that the proposed legislative changes and potential dissolution of the CCG have a disruptive effect during 2021/22 causing anxiety and uncertainty in staff and that we have insufficient workforce to deliver our organisational objectives and commissioning intentions during times of major change.	CT	12	16	9	No			No		
	5.2 There is a risk that if we do not engage actively in the co- design of the future arrangements for place and commissioning we will not have maximised the potential of our staff and their contribution to an integrated health and care system.	BH	12	12	9	No			No		
	5.3 There is a risk that our focus on future system design means that we lose focus and momentum on our culture change programme, talent management and succession planning and our ambitions on equality and diversity.	BH	12	12	9	No			No		
	5.4 There is a risk that due to the wide range of staff home working experiences during the pandemic, our post-pandemic flexible working arrangements will not cater for the needs of all our staff.	CT	12	12	9	No			No		













<b>Principal Objective:</b> 2. Lead the improvement of quality of care and standards		<b>Director Lead:</b>	Brian Hughes - Deputy Accountable Officer									
<b>Principal Risk:</b> 2.3 There is a risk that we fail to effectively engage and communicate our messages with the public and involve patients and carers in CCG and system priorities and service developments, leading to loss of confidence in CCG decisions, potential legal challenge and barriers to progressing required change.		<b>Date last reviewed:</b>	14 April 2022									
<b>Risk Rating:</b> (likelihood x Initial: $4 \times 4 = 16$  Current: $3 \times 4 = 12$ Appetite $2 \times 4 = 8$	<table border="1"> <caption>Risk Rating Data</caption> <thead> <tr> <th>Metric</th> <th>Initial</th> <th>Current</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Risk Score</td> <td>16</td> <td>12</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Risk Appetite</td> <td>8</td> <td>8</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Metric	Initial	Current	Risk Score	16	12	Risk Appetite	8	8	<b>Rationale for current score:</b>	
		Metric	Initial	Current								
Risk Score	16	12										
Risk Appetite	8	8										
		The COVID pandemic has impacted on the way in which people and communities are able to engage and communicate. Additionally, the CCG has had to make rapid decisions to ensure that appropriate care is accessible to people so time to engage, communicate and consider decisions has been limited. As we reset we will need to consider how the changes that need to be sustained and how it engages and communicates appropriately with the public on this. The transformational changes we seek will require significant engagement with public and patients to ensure public understanding and compliance with good practice. There is a risk that the population do not engage with the proposed changes, focussed on creating independence, self-care and education, and we end up with a system that encourages dependence on it. The reputation of the CCG's decisions need to reflect the needs of the population and be influenced by them. Actions implemented in year have helped to reduce the likelihood of legal challenge being upheld.										
		<b>Rationale for risk appetite:</b>										
		We should have mechanisms in place that make effective engagement and securing the capacity to deliver it routinely; therefore the likelihood of failure to engage and potential challenge "unlikely" at worst.										
<b>Existing Controls:</b> (What are we doing about the risk prior to any new mitigating actions?)		<b>Existing Gaps in Control:</b>	<b>Please select</b> No									
Communication and Engagement Strategy and Engagement Plan developed and approved. Strategic Patient Experience, Engagement and Equality Committee (SPEEC) led by GB lay member in place, with Terms of Reference refreshed annually. Working with the Consultation Institute to provide briefings and training to key committees, senior staff and operational staff on legal requirements and best practice. Plan on how we meet legal duties around the temporary closure of services approved by SPIEEC. Process introduced to double check all relevant SMT papers include a QEIA and have developed training tool for staff. Share proposals on potential change with OSC for comment and decision early. Carried out training on statutory duties with commissioning directorate staff. Training on equality duties will all CCG directorates. Weekly comms meeting with Sheffield partners		(Where are we failing to put controls in place and what more should be done?)										
<b>Mitigating actions:</b> (What additional controls are to be put in place to further strengthen existing controls and by what date?)												
<b>Action:</b>		<b>Date</b>	<b>Completed</b>									
Establish funding for project group to co-ordinate engagement across Sheffield Communities		Oct-21	Yes									
Establish funding for voluntary groups to engage on next year's commissioning intentions and implementation of this year's commissioning intentions		Jan-22	Yes									
Comms and Engagement Workstream established for the primary care capital transformation project		Jan-22	Yes									
<b>Assurances</b> (Ongoing unless stated otherwise): Where should we find the evidence that controls are effective?		<b>Positive Assurance</b> (Ongoing unless stated otherwise): Provide specific evidence of Assurances and if Internal/External										
H&WB Engagement Group	Programme Management Framework		Internal									
Governing Body	Minutes of SPIEEC		Internal									
Lead Committee Strategic Public Involvement Experience Equality Committee (SPIEEC)	Patient experience and engagement reports received by GB		External									
QEIA Policy	Governing Body minutes		Internal									
	Communication with the Healthier Communities Scrutiny Committee		External									
<b>Gaps in assurance:</b> (Where are we failing to gain evidence that our controls are effective?)		<b>Please select</b>	No									
		<b>Principal Risk Reference:</b> 2.3										



<b>Principal Objective:</b> 2. Lead the improvement of quality of care and standards		<b>Director Lead:</b>	Brian Hughes - Deputy Accountable Officer
<b>Principal Risk:</b> 2.5 There is a risk that insufficient preparedness to deal with significant emergency events mean that if those events occur local health services may be overwhelmed, distorting delivery of our priorities. We need to ensure our response reflects the lessons learned and experiences from the Covid Pandemic		<b>Date last reviewed:</b>	20 April 2022
<b>Risk Rating:</b> (likelihood x consequence) Initial: 5 x 3 = 15 Current: 5 x 3 = 15 Appetite 2 x 4 = 8		<b>Rationale for current score:</b>	
		Annual assurance process undertaken against EPRR readiness. Lived experience and learning from the command and control structures as part of the current pandemic, alongside implementation plans for the UK exiting the EU. However, these need to be flexible enough to deal with any different or escalating threats.	
		<b>Rationale for risk appetite:</b>	
All systems should have robust arrangements in place to plan for, and respond to, a wide range of incidents and emergencies that could affect health or patient care. These could be anything from extreme weather conditions to an outbreak of an infectious disease or a major transport accident.			
<b>Existing Controls:</b> (What are we doing about the risk prior to any new mitigating actions?)		<b>Existing Gaps in Control:</b>	<b>Please select</b> No
There is an Emergency Preparedness, Resilience and Response Policy and Business Continuity Policy in place approved by Governance Sub-committee in February 2022. Each team is requested to prepare a Business Continuity Plan and BCP leads meet quarterly to review plans and agree desk top exercises. CCG staff have a laptop to allow remote working. Citywide health and social care cell reinstated as required. Healthcare management across SYB. EPRR support across SYB.		(Where are we failing to put controls in place and what more should be done?)	
<b>Mitigating actions:</b> (What additional controls are to be put in place to further strengthen existing controls and by what date?)			
<b>Action:</b>		<b>Date</b>	<b>Completed</b>
Review of EPRR policy to include command and control structure		Apr-21	Yes
ACP Gold Cell Lessons learnt debrief complete and circulated		Aug-21	Yes
City wide gold cell (Chief Executive level) re-established and meeting routinely through winter period		Nov-21	Yes
Business Continuity Policy approved by Governance sub-committee 23/02/22		Feb-22	Yes
Communication cascade undertaken across organisation to test resilience		Mar-22	Yes
<b>Assurances</b> (Ongoing unless stated otherwise): Where should we find the evidence that controls are effective?	<b>Positive Assurance</b> (Ongoing unless stated otherwise): Provide specific evidence of Assurances and if Internal/External		
Lead Committee Governing Body (GB)	Governance Sub-committee mins and notes of meetings		Internal
Lead Committee Governance Sub Committee (GSc)	EPRR Self-assessment tool - LRF Confirm and Challenge		Internal
	EPRR and BCP Policies (February 2022)		Internal
	Governing Body minutes		Internal
<b>Gaps in assurance:</b> (Where are we failing to gain evidence that our controls are effective?)	<b>Please select</b>	No	
<b>Principal Risk Reference:</b>		2.5	

<b>Principal Objective:</b> 2. Lead the improvement of quality of care and standards		<b>Director Lead:</b> Alun Windle, Chief Nurse
<b>Principal Risk:</b> 2.6 There is a risk that the CCG may not meet Flu Vaccine requirements set by NHSEI 2021/22, due to availability of vaccine, workforce capacity with other vaccination programmes, access to vulnerable Cohorts in a period of both Covid and General practice work recovery and restoration		<b>Date last reviewed:</b> 12 April 2022
<b>Risk Rating:</b> (likelihood x consequence) Initial: $4 \times 3 = 12$ Current: $3 \times 3 = 9$ Appetite: $3 \times 3 = 9$		<b>Rationale for current score:</b> The increased population eligibility for Flu Vaccinations has remained the same as last year as has the deliverable criteria, access to national stocks of vaccine and local systems to working together effectively may reduce the ability to meet the national vaccination target requirements. There is a slight risk linked to vaccine availability in the time constraints required. Increasing Covid vaccination requirements in Q3 is impacting capacity to deliver the flu vaccine from a workforce perspective. Delivery to housebound patients remains a risk as normal. December 2021 metrics show we have achieved flu vaccination coverage as in previous years therefore risk rating is to target  <b>Rationale for risk appetite:</b> To ensure as far as possible that the eligible population of Sheffield will receive an annual vaccination, recognising the rate limiting factors of flu vaccine and workforce availability, the target for delivery is 75% of the population.
<b>Existing Controls: (What are we doing about the risk prior to any new mitigating actions?)</b> Development of the Sheffield Flu Plan, oversight provided by the ICS Flu Board and Urgent and Emergency Board. Covid/Flu discussions with PCNS on weekly basis, CCG Flu Group (during flu season).		<b>Existing Gaps in Control:</b> Please select <input type="radio"/> No (Where are we failing to put controls in place and what more should be done?)
<b>Mitigating actions:</b> (What additional controls are to be put in place to further strengthen existing controls and by what date?)		
<b>Action:</b>		<b>Date</b>
CCG Vaccine Lead recruitment complete		Sep-21
Review in December when the metrics are available.		Dec-21
<b>Assurances (Ongoing unless stated otherwise):</b> Where should we find the evidence that controls are effective?		<b>Positive Assurance (Ongoing unless stated otherwise):</b> Provide specific evidence of Assurances and if Internal/External
City Wide Locality Group		SMT and GB minutes
SMT and Governing Body		Quality Report to GB
SYB Covid Vaccination programme meetings (2 per week)		December flu vaccination reporting
Lead Committee Primary Care Commissioning Committee (PCCC)		
Lead Committee Quality Assurance Committee (QAC)		
<b>Gaps in assurance: (Where are we failing to gain evidence that our controls are effective?)</b>		Please select <input type="radio"/> No
<b>Principal Risk Reference:</b>		2.6







<b>Principal Objective:</b> 3. Bring care closer to home		<b>Director Lead:</b>	Zak McMurray - Medical Director
<b>Principal Risk:</b> 3.3 Inability to secure active engagement/participation and involvement of PCN Clinical Directors, Members Practices and relevant CCG teams which may result in not achieving CCG priorities.		<b>Date last reviewed:</b>	14 April 2022
<b>Risk Rating:</b> (likelihood x consequence) Initial: $3 \times 3 = 9$  Current: $2 \times 3 = 6$ Appetite $2 \times 3 = 6$		<b>Rationale for current score:</b> The engagement of member practices is key to delivering the strategic objectives of the CCG. Primary Care capacity is one of the key challenges to the CCG. During the Covid situation there has been a high level of engagement with Member practices via PCNs, the opportunity for engagement is now much higher as a result of Covid-19 pandemic. The CLG has been rejuvenated and is active, chaired by a GB GP member. The MD meets with CLG Chair monthly to discuss agendas. The Covid vaccination programme has enhanced engagement with the support given to practices. There are bi-weekly catch up sessions with CDs, the MD, AO and Chair with regard to issues with the vaccination programme and supporting PCNs and practices. We are also working closely with PCS with regard to PCN development. Risk has been reduced due to CRG more active and more frequent meetings as covid declines, alongside the relationships which have grown.	
	<b>Existing Controls:</b> (What are we doing about the risk prior to any new mitigating actions?)  Clinical directors in post with executive role to give clear clinical direction for the organisation. Regular engagement with practices. Regular monthly meetings with locality managers to understand level of engagement. Attendance at PLI events. Attendance at Members Council where practices able to raise concerns with MD. Regular meetings with LMC. CCG structure includes GP involvement at GB and its associated committees, Coordination Group and H&WB Board. Localities also collaborate through the city-wide Locality Group where membership includes links to the commissioning portfolios. Executive Lead for each locality. Revised ToR for CLG which is chaired by the CCG Chair has strengthened links between localities and CCG. Programme directors included in practice visits as part of PCCC in which CDs involved. The MD together with the CE from PCS are attending locality meetings with a view to increasing engagement with practices. Regular meetings between GB GPs and LMS. Dr Tom Holdsworth, PCN Network Chair (new role) now works within the CCG clinical executive, in a liaison role across to PCNs. Strengthening relationship with LMC puts us in a good position for transition, with more working together to resolve issues. Clinical Reference Group (CRG) fully active post-COVID early 2022. Both Executive Directors and often the Medical Director attend and feed into each of the monthly Locality meetings. We now have a dedicated Clinical Director for Primary Care who will offer additional capacity to support this work		<b>Existing Gaps in Control:</b> Please select No (Where are we failing to put controls in place and what more should be done?)
<b>Mitigating actions:</b> (What additional controls are to be put in place to further strengthen existing controls and by what date?)			
<b>Action:</b> Consider involving the regular public health and primary care updates beyond covid and into hot topics for public health, likely to be post-March		<b>Date</b> May 22	<b>Completed</b> No
<b>Assurances</b> (Ongoing unless stated otherwise): Where should we find the evidence that controls are effective?		<b>Positive Assurance</b> (Ongoing unless stated otherwise): Provide specific evidence of Assurances and if Internal/External	
Minutes from city-wide locality group meetings		Reports to GB and PCCC and minutes of meetings	Internal
Minutes from LMC / CCG meetings		Regular Joint Covid updates to practices (via Teams) by Sheffield Director of Public Health, Greg Fell and CCG Medical Director	External
Lead Committee Primary Care Commissioning Committee (PCCC)		Discussions with LMC about improved access and funding arrangements reflected in LMC meeting minutes	External
CRG record of clinical discussions		LMC city-wide meetings and locality group meetings are well attended	External
		February 2022 GB private development session on Team Sheffield with CDs	Internal
<b>Gaps in assurance:</b> (Where are we failing to gain evidence that our controls are effective?)		<b>Please select</b>	No
<b>Principal Risk Reference:</b>			3.3





<b>Principal Objective:</b> 4. Improve health care sustainability and affordability		<b>Director Lead:</b> Brian Hughes - Deputy Accountable Officer
<b>Principal Risk:</b> 4.2	There is a risk that the proposed legislative changes and potential dissolution of the CCG have a disruptive effect on commissioning and provider partnerships during 2021/22 resulting in failure to secure the level of transformation required and an ability to deliver on our joint objectives.	<b>Date last reviewed:</b> 20 April 2022
<b>Risk Rating:</b> (likelihood x consequence) Initial: $3 \times 4 = 12$ Current: $3 \times 4 = 12$ Appetite: $2 \times 3 = 6$		<b>Rationale for current score:</b> There is a risk that legislation changes impact on our ability to focus on recovery and transformation change, with our local partners and SYB arrangements. White Paper legislation now published with system and local implementation now underway, highlighting need for minimal disruption, which will require significant partnership effort over the next period to ensure effective transition to new arrangements  <b>Rationale for risk appetite:</b> Legislation confirms establishment of ICS, with responsibilities for commissioning function at system, with delegation to place based arrangements. A strong and successful ICS will support performance and transformation, which in turn should support delivery of our strategic objectives.
<b>Existing Controls:</b> (What are we doing about the risk prior to any new mitigating actions?)		<b>Existing Gaps in Control:</b> Please select <input type="radio"/> No
Establishment of ICS working arrangements including governance structure with PMO and various CEO/Director led workstreams. Revised Terms of Reference and Workplan agreed for JCCCG, with introduction of a sub group to progress actions. Monthly SCCG update sessions on ICS transition in place for staff. National Thriving Places guidance. Sheffield partnership presentation, summarising the work of the partnership over the last year, to be presented to Sheffield Health & Care Board, Joint Commissioning Committee, SCCG Governing Body and ICB designates during November and December 2021		(Where are we failing to put controls in place and what more should be done?)
<b>Mitigating Actions:</b> (What additional controls are to be put in place to further strengthen existing controls and by what date?)		
<b>Action</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Completed</b>
Governance review of ICS to be undertaken with all SYB partners across ICS	Feb-21	Yes
Workstreams established at place and system level with CCG engagement in translation of legislation arrangements	Apr-21	Yes
Publication of ICS System Operating Framework	Jun-21	Yes
Change and Transition Programme Board established	Jun-21	Yes
System Design programme Board established	Jun-21	Yes
ICS Peoples HUB established	Jul-21	Yes
Produce a summary partnership presentation and present to system partners	Dec-21	Yes
Interim Due Diligence Report published and considered by Governing Body on 4 February 2022 with feedback to Change and Transition Board at its meeting of 9 February 2022	Feb-22	Yes
Place based structures recruited to and in place prior to July 2022 go live	Jul-22	No
<b>Assurances</b> (Ongoing unless stated otherwise): Where should we find the evidence that controls are effective?	<b>Positive Assurance</b> (Ongoing unless stated otherwise): Provide specific evidence of Assurances and if Internal/External	
Reports to Governing Body on key ICS issues.	Minutes of Governing Body (including presentations of Joint Commissioning Plan)	Internal
Weekly Health and Care Management Team meetings held with all partners	ICS CEO report to Governing Body	External
Lead Committee Joint Commissioning Committee (JCC)	Minutes of JCCCG	External
Lead Committee Governing Body (GB)		
<b>Gaps in assurance:</b> (Where are we failing to gain evidence that our controls are effective?)	Please select <input type="radio"/> No	
<b>Principal Risk Reference:</b>		4.2





3wk 4. Improve health care sustainability and affordability		Director Lead:	Zak McMurray - Medical Director																																								
Principal Risk: 4.5 There is a risk that we fail to address the impact that the services that we commission have on the environment.		Date last reviewed:	13 April 2022																																								
<b>Risk Rating:</b> (likelihood x consequence) Initial: 4 x 3 = 12  Current: 4 x 3 = 12 Appetite: 3 x 3 = 9		<b>Rationale for current score: (max 180 words)</b> Failure to continually evidence the organisations commitment to sustainability and comply with ever broadening statutory obligations, national guidance and policy requirements will place the CCG at risk of financial implications; negative environmental impacts and failure to deliver social value. Further consequences include external scrutiny from our system-wide colleagues, the public and negative media attention leading to reputational damage and a lack of trust. There are opportunities post Covid to do things differently and reduce CO2 for example using virtual consultations and supporting the implementation of the new Standard Contract environmental requirements on providers.																																									
	<b>Rationale for risk appetite:</b> The Long Term Plan published in 2019 sets out the sustainability commitments for the NHS. In 2020, the For a Greener NHS programme launched, driving the ambition for the NHS to become a net-zero healthcare system. Following the outcomes of the NHSE initiative - Developing the Net Zero Plan. The ICS is required to develop a Green Plan which should be in place from Spring 2022. There is currently a draft plan however this has not been fully developed given the ongoing Covid pandemic.																																										
<b>Existing Controls: (What are we doing about the risk prior to any new mitigating actions?)</b> There is a CCG Sustainability Development Group which is chaired by an Executive Director and attended by GB GPs, SCC representation and Head of Procurement. The Medical Director and a GB GP sit on the Green City Partnership Board encouraging a joined-up approach across the city with the aim of encouraging anchor organisations to adopt green policies. The Group also identifies and reviews funding and grants in support of the city's green agenda. The CCG is part of the National Green Plan Group which provides support to CCGs and ICSs in developing their Green Plan agenda. The meetings have now been reinstated. CCG Green Plan and associated action plan. The CCG Facilities Manager is our representative on the ICS Sustainability Group which has representatives from SY providers and responsibility for production of the SY ICS Green Plan.		<b>Existing Gaps in Control: Please select</b> No (Where are we failing to put controls in place and what more should be done?)																																									
<b>Mitigating actions: (What additional controls are to be put in place to further strengthen existing controls and by what date?)</b>																																											
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Action</th> <th>Date</th> <th>Completed</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Clinical Directors to provide input into clinical roadmap</td> <td>Nov-20</td> <td>Yes</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Development of Green Plan for the CCG</td> <td>Mar-22</td> <td>Yes</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Relaunch awareness of sustainability within the CCG as part of the Return to 722 Group</td> <td>Jul-21</td> <td>Yes</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Develop future PLI event for Member Practices</td> <td>Mar-22</td> <td>Yes</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Staff briefing session regarding environmental sustainability</td> <td>Mar-22</td> <td>Yes</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SMT briefing paper</td> <td>May-22</td> <td>No</td> </tr> <tr> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> </tr> <tr> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> </tr> <tr> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Action	Date	Completed	Clinical Directors to provide input into clinical roadmap	Nov-20	Yes	Development of Green Plan for the CCG	Mar-22	Yes	Relaunch awareness of sustainability within the CCG as part of the Return to 722 Group	Jul-21	Yes	Develop future PLI event for Member Practices	Mar-22	Yes	Staff briefing session regarding environmental sustainability	Mar-22	Yes	SMT briefing paper	May-22	No										<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Assurances (Ongoing unless stated otherwise): Where should we find the evidence that controls are effective?</th> <th>Positive Assurance (Ongoing unless stated otherwise): Provide specific evidence of Assurances and if Internal/External</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Notes of Sustainability Development Group</td> <td>Governing Body minutes Internal</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Lead Committee Joint Commissioning Committee (JCC)</td> <td>Notes of Green City Partnership Board (when reinstated) External</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Lead Committee Governing Body (GB)</td> <td> </td> </tr> <tr> <td>ICS Sustainability Group summary notes</td> <td> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Assurances (Ongoing unless stated otherwise): Where should we find the evidence that controls are effective?	Positive Assurance (Ongoing unless stated otherwise): Provide specific evidence of Assurances and if Internal/External	Notes of Sustainability Development Group	Governing Body minutes Internal	Lead Committee Joint Commissioning Committee (JCC)	Notes of Green City Partnership Board (when reinstated) External	Lead Committee Governing Body (GB)		ICS Sustainability Group summary notes	
Action	Date	Completed																																									
Clinical Directors to provide input into clinical roadmap	Nov-20	Yes																																									
Development of Green Plan for the CCG	Mar-22	Yes																																									
Relaunch awareness of sustainability within the CCG as part of the Return to 722 Group	Jul-21	Yes																																									
Develop future PLI event for Member Practices	Mar-22	Yes																																									
Staff briefing session regarding environmental sustainability	Mar-22	Yes																																									
SMT briefing paper	May-22	No																																									
Assurances (Ongoing unless stated otherwise): Where should we find the evidence that controls are effective?	Positive Assurance (Ongoing unless stated otherwise): Provide specific evidence of Assurances and if Internal/External																																										
Notes of Sustainability Development Group	Governing Body minutes Internal																																										
Lead Committee Joint Commissioning Committee (JCC)	Notes of Green City Partnership Board (when reinstated) External																																										
Lead Committee Governing Body (GB)																																											
ICS Sustainability Group summary notes																																											
<b>Gaps in assurance: (Where are we failing to gain evidence that our controls are effective?)</b>		<b>Please select</b> No																																									
<b>Principal Risk Reference:</b>		4.5																																									







<b>Principal Objective:</b> 5. Be a caring employer that values diversity and maximises the potential of our people		<b>Director Lead:</b>	Brian Hughes - Deputy Accountable Officer
<b>Principal Risk:</b> 5.2 There is a risk that if we do not engage actively in the co- design of the future arrangements for place and commissioning we will not have maximised the potential of our staff and their contribution to an integrated health and care system.		<b>Date last reviewed:</b>	20 April 2022
<b>Risk Rating:</b> (likelihood x consequence) Initial: $3 \times 4 = 12$ Current: $3 \times 4 = 12$ Appetite: $3 \times 3 = 9$		<b>Rationale for current score:</b> (180 words Max)	
		Whist Legislative change will undoubtedly be disruptive the CCG and the ICS are working in partnership with a joint commitment to ensure we value and maximise the potential of our people.	
		<b>Rationale for risk appetite:</b>	
		The ICS and the CCG are working in partnership and jointly committed to ensuring we maximise the opportunities for our people	
<b>Existing Controls:</b> (What are we doing about the risk prior to any new mitigating actions?)		<b>Existing Gaps in Control:</b> Please select No	
National Thriving Places Guidance (was due by end July) will support clarity on role of commissioners and providers in Sheffield. Monthly SCCG update sessions on ICS transition in place for staff. Joint Commissioning Office (SCCG and SCC) in place to coordinate the achievement of the Joint Commissioning Plan. Change and Transition workstreams (close down and design) established with work plans and an overall coordination group. South Yorkshire wide Co-Design workshops for all staff taking place between Nov-early Jan. There are also SY staff webinar sessions taking place on a regular basis with opportunity for staff input.		(Where are we failing to put controls in place and what more should be done?)	
<b>Mitigating actions:</b> (What additional controls are to be put in place to further strengthen existing controls and by what date?)			
<b>Action</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Completed</b>	
Change and Transition Programme Board to be established co chaired by SCCG Chair and ICS Lead	Jun-21	Yes	
ACP Vision for Provision to be reviewed by Governing Body	Jul-21	Yes	
ACP Place design group established with CCG input	Jul-21	Yes	
ICS People's HUB to be established	Jul-21	Yes	
CCG Team Sheffield approach established with input from GB, Deputy Directors, Locality Managers and PCN CDs	Feb-22	Yes	
GB development sessions on team Sheffield Approach 3 February 2022	Feb-22	Yes	
ICS Co design workshops resumed	Feb-22	Yes	
ICB CEO Designate appointed and in place from 1 Feb	Feb-22	Yes	
Place Director appointments made	Apr-22	No	
Sheffield CCG staff involvement in Clever Together conversations	Jun-22	No	
<b>Assurances</b> (Ongoing unless stated otherwise): Where should we find the evidence that controls are effective?	<b>Positive Assurance</b> (Ongoing unless stated otherwise): Provide specific evidence of Assurances and if Internal/External		
Lead Committee Governing Body (GB)	Minutes of Governing Body		
ICS Change and Transition Board			
Reporting from the change and transition workstreams and design groups			
<b>Gaps in assurance:</b> (Where are we failing to gain evidence that our controls are effective?)	Please select No		
		<b>Principal Risk Reference:</b>	
		5.2	



